A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER Int.Cl <sup>7</sup> H01L29/78, H01L21/336					
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC					
B. FIELDS SEARCHED					
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)  Int.Cl <sup>7</sup> H01L29/78, H01L21/336, H01L21/316, H01L21/318					
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched  Jitsuyo Shinan Koho 1922-1996 Toroku Jitsuyo Shinan Koho 1994-2003  Kokai Jitsuyo Shinan Koho 1971-2003 Jitsuyo Shinan Toroku Koho 1996-2003					
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) ISI Web of Science, IEEE Xplore					
-C. DOCU	MENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT				
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where ap	propriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.		
x	HJ. Cho et al., Novel Nitro Engineering for Improved TaN, Performance, International El Meeting., Technical Digest 20 pages 655 to 658	/HfO <sub>2</sub> /Si MOSFET Lectron Devices	1-3,5,11,12,		
Y A	pages 655 to 658 pages 655 to 658		20 4,8,9,11-13 16-19		
	Hag-Ju Cho et al., Structural and Electrical Properties of HfO <sub>2</sub> With Top Nitrogen Incorporated Layer, IEEE ELECTRON DEVICE LETTERS, Vol.23, No.5, May 2002,				
X	pages 249 to 251		1-3,5,11,12, 20		
Y A	pages 249 to 251 pages 249 to 251		4,8,9,11-13 16-19		
× Furth	er documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.	See patent family annex.			
* Special categories of cited documents:  "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed  Date of the actual completion of the international search  12 September, 2003 (12.09.03)  "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone  "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document member of the same patent family  Date of mailing of the international search report  30 September, 2003 (30.09.03)					
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ Japanese Patent Office		Authorized officer			
Facsimile N	o.	Telephone No.			

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Internal application No.
PCT/JP03/07761

Cataon	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No
Category*	JP 2002-60944 A (International Business Machines	
	Corp.),	1
	28 February, 2002 (28.02.02), Par. Nos. [0101] to [0103]; Figs. 5 to 13	1-3,5-8,
x	Par. Nos. [0101] to [0103]; Figs. 5 to 15	11-13, 15, 20
Ý	Par. Nos. [0101] to [0103]; Figs. 5 to 13	4,8-14 16-19
A	Par. Nos. [0101] to [0103]; Figs. 5 to 13 & KR 2001098415 A	
	/	4
Y	JP 10-242461 A (Sony Corp.), 11 September, 1998 (11.09.98),	•
ļ	Full text; Fig. 3	
	(Family: none)	
	JP 2002-164343 A (Agere Systems Guardian Corp.),	
Y	07 June, 2002 (07.06.02), Full text; Fig. 3	9,11-13
A	Full text; Fig. 3	16-19
	& GB 2371676 A	
		10 10 14
Y	US 6365467 B1 (Hyundai Electronics Industries Co., Ltd.),	10-12,14
	02 April, 2002 (02.04.02),	
	Full text; Fig. 1 & JP 2000-195856 A	
	Full text; Fig. 1	
	& KR 2000045310 A	
P,X	JP 2002-299607 A (Toshiba Corp.),	1-3,5-7,
	11 October, 2002 (11.10.02), Full text; all drawings	11-13,15,20
	(Family: none)	
	!	
	f	
	·	
		·



This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons	
	:
1. Claims Nos.:	
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:	
2. Claims Nos.:	
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an	
extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:	
3. Claims Nos.:	
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).	
	_
Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)	
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:	
As described on the (extra sheet), there must exist a special technical feature	
so linking a group of inventions of claims as to form a single general inventive concept in order that the group of inventions may satisfy the requirement	
of unity of invention.	. •
This international application contains three inventions: (1) the invention	
of claims 1-5, 8, 11-13, 16-19, claims 7,15, claim 9, and claim 20; (2) th	ıe
invention of claim 6; and (3) the invention of claims 10, 14.	
	_
1. X As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchables.	e
claims.	
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment	
of any additional fee.	ţ
	t
<b>,</b> · · ·	t
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report cover	
·	
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report cover only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:	
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report cover	
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report cover only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:	
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report cover only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:	
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report cover only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:	
<ul> <li>As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report cover only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:</li> <li>No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is</li> </ul>	
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report cover only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:	
<ul> <li>As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report cover only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:</li> <li>No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report cover only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:</li> <li>No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report cover only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:</li> <li>No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report cover only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:</li> <li>No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>3.  As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report cover only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:</li> <li>4.  No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:</li> <li>Remark on Protest</li></ul>	

## Continuation of Box No. II of continuation of first sheet (1)

There must exist a special technical feature so linking a group of inventions of claims as to form a single general inventive concept in order that the group of inventions may satisfy the requirement of unity of invention. The inventions of claims 1-20 are linked only by the technical feature that a "gate insulating film" comprises a "metal silicate film".

However, it is clear, as mentioned in "Background Art" of the description of this application, that this technical feature is a well-known one and cannot be a special technical feature. Therefore there is no special technical feature so linking the group of inventions of claims 1-20 as to form a single general inventive concept.

Consequently, it appears that the inventions of claims 1-20 do not

comply with the requirement of unity of invention.

Next, the number of inventions of the claims in the international application so linked as to form a single general inventive concept, namely, the number of inventions will be examined. Considering the specific modes of the inventions of the claims, the claims of the international application define six inventions: the invention of claims 1-5, 8, 11-13, 16-19, the invention of claim 6, the invention of claims 7, 15, the invention of claim 9, the invention of claims 10, 14, and the invention of claim 20. Out of the inventions, the inventions of claims 1-5, 8, 11-13, 16-19 and the claim 6 are linked only by the technical feature that "a gate insulating film" has "a structure in which nitrogen is introduced into a metal silicate". However, this technical feature is also a well-known one and cannot be a special technical feature, as mentioned in "Background Art" of the description. Similarly, the relationship among claims 1-5, 8, 11-13, 16-19 and the claims 10, 14 and the relationship among claim 6 and claims 10, 14 involve no special technical features. The inventions of claims 1-5, 8, 11-13, 16-19, claims 7, 15, claim 9, and claim 20 are linked by the technical feature that "the position where the nitrogen concentration is maximum in the direction of film thickness is in a region away from the silicon substrate", with respect to the technical feature that "a gate insulating film" includes a nitrogen-containing high dielectric constant insulating film having "a structure in which nitrogen is introduced into a metal silicate".

Consequently, there are three inventions in this international application: (1) the invention of claims 1-5, 8, 11-13, 16-19, claims 7-15, claim 9, and claim 20; (2) the invention of claim 6; and (3) the invention of claims 10, 14.